



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/816,287	03/21/2001	Vladislav Vashchenko	75292/10417	6106

7590 02/21/2003

Arter & Hadden, LLP
Jurgen K. Vollrath
588 SUTTER STREET #531
San Francisco, CA 94102

EXAMINER

PRENTY, MARK V

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2822

DATE MAILED: 02/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/816,287

Applicant(s)
VASHCHENKO et al.

Examiner
Prenty

Art Unit
2822



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) App. Rep. Jurgen Vollrath (Reg. No. 49,098)

(3) _____

(2) Primary Examiner Mark Prenty

(4) _____

Date of Interview Feb 11, 2003

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 4-8, 17, and 18

Identification of prior art discussed:

Prior Art Figs. 1-2.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The outstanding final rejection (see Paper 10 mailed January 9, 2003, hereby incorporated by reference) was discussed.

The examiner noted that the allowed independent claims are on different footing than the rejected independent claims.

The examiner will maintain the outstanding rejections and recommended that the applicant cancel claims 7-16 and amend claim 5 so that claims 4-6, 17 and 18 can apparently be allowed. Mr. Vollrath will file a response in due course.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Mark Prenty
Examiner's signature, if required